

DES PLAINES, IL 60017-5017

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,187	07/28/2003		Carl N. Zenz	108154	6096
23490	7590	06/09/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN G T	OLOME	I, PATENT DEPAR	JOHNSON III, HENRY M		
UOP LLC 25 EAST AI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 5017				3739	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/628,187	ZENZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/28/	<u>2003</u> .						
,— ,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 7</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>072803</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/628,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Claims 1-19, drawn to a process of heat application, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

 Claim 20-23, drawn to application of a thermoset material, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the curing heat could be applied via alternate means. The subcombination has separate utility such as cutting of cauterizing tissue as disclosed in the application.

During a telephone conversation with Arthur Gooding on June 2, 2004, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings

The drawing is objected to because it fails to clearly show the detail cited. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Art Unit: 3739

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 9-13 and 16-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-7, 42, 44, 46-48 of copending Application No. 10/200794. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are an obvious broadening of the scope.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim cites controlling the flow of the individual components, yet the base claim only cites a flow comprising a mixture making the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0036753 A1 to Morgan et al. Morgan et al. teach a method for electrosurgery using oxy-hydro combustion whereby oxygen and hydrogen are supplied to an instrument (Fig. 4) and enter a mixing area (Fig. 4, # 280) where a mixture is formed and continues through the mixing area (interpreted as a conduit) to a distal end where an electrode initiates the reaction to generate heat for surgical procedures (Paragraph 0017). The electrode may include an alloy of nickel to induce (act as a catalyst) the release of hydrogen (Paragraph 0017). The oxygen and hydrogen (oxy-hydro) combustion is used as a mechanism for tissue dissection, ablation, cutting, coagulation (cauterization), modification, treatment and the like (Paragraph 0049). The term tissue is broadly interpreted as including the tissues of claims 2 and 8.

Regarding claim 11, Morgan et al. disclose providing an aqueous salt ion solution at the site wherein the therapeutic response is desired, the solution comprising sufficient volume to permit immersion of at least the portion of the probe including the active electrode disposed within the elongated lumen and the return electrode, positioning the active electrode in close proximity to the location wherein the therapeutic response is desired, the active electrode and return electrode being immersed in the aqueous salt ion solution, and applying a high frequency voltage between the active electrode and return electrode, the voltage being less than that required to induce plasma ionization (Paragraph 0015), but provides sufficient hydrogen and oxygen for combustion from the water (Equation 1; 6H₂ + 3O₂).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,187 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 4,796,622 to Lu et al. discloses a catheter heated using oxygen and hydrogen generated using an electrolytic process wherein the reaction is induced using a palladium catalyst.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3739